Third Party Quality M	Annexure 3 onitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore	
Date of Visit	15-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

	ARTICULARS OF PROJECT					
1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	Balrampur				
3	Name of Project:	Construction 29th	of CSMC	114 Dt. 27/1	DUs under 2/2017	PMAY
4	Project Code:	09007503014	4N0			
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Pachperwa				
6	Location of the Project	Wards No.01 t	to 12 of UL	В		
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New Co	nstruction)			
8	No. of Houses in the project	114				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	25/12/2017				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
10		(in Lakhs)		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
	Data of acception of A in A III and CO in A	171.0	114.0	0.0	148.2	433.2
11	Date of sanction of 1st installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	30-05-2018				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement		cheduled		Actual	
		30	-05-2018		14-06	5-2018
14	Date of Completion	Scheduled		Expected		
		30	-05-2019		14-06	-2019
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Rajeev Kumar			91110	008975
	(with contact detail) :	Abhinav Pande	ey		94253	300912
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Rakesh Kumar			9455162638	3
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed	List Enclosed with Photos			
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				



	Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
Third Party Quality M	Annexure 3 onitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	15-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	MAU				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 31 DUS under PMAY 34th CSMC Dt. 30/05/2018			PMAY	
4	Project Code:	0900750314	INO			
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Pachperwa		- 1 - 1		
6	Location of the Project	Wards No.01	to 12 of U	LB		
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (Enhance	cement)			
8	No. of Houses in the project	31				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	25/03/2018				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
10		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
11	Date of sanction of 1 _{st} installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	46.5 27.9 0.0 0.0 74.4 26-03-2018			74.4	
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	S	cheduled		Ac	tual
13	Date of Commencement	26-03-2018 10-04-2018		-2018		
14	Date of Completion		cheduled		-	ected
			5-03-2019		10-04	-2019
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name)	Rajeev Kuma			91110	08975
10	(with contact detail) :	Abhinav Pan	dey		94253	00912
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Vikash Pasw	an		9838101246	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed	with Photo	os		
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				





B. C	QUALITY ASSURANCE IN THE PROJECT	
1	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	YES
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	Testing facilities are not available at sites, however it is advisable that in the premises of the mouncipal council testing facilities shoud be developed for the beneficiaries
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	No, It has been directed to establish the material testing lab.
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	NA
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	NA
	(iii) Whether the statuary certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision isendorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multistoried construction.	Not Required
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer–in-charge?	No, (Instruction given to maintain the site order book, Inspection register & Quality control Test register.
6	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No (materials being purchaged by beneficiary.)
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
8	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
9	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done

. dad Contac

	Reference Guide for	Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
10	Whether centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions, cleaning etc. and its quality approved before each stage and record maintained.	No record have been maintained.
11	Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Mannual mixing with hand compaction in minor work.
12	Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	Some Benificiaries maintained
13	Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix has being used
14	What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Volumetric mannual mixing has been used.
15	Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA
16	Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?	Yes
17	Whether potable water is used for construction?	YES
18	Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA
19	Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	YES
20	Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	YES
21	Whether	
	i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled	Adequate
	ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate	Adequate
	iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	Partially Provided.
22	Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of ?	YES, ULB staff has been checked. Found good quality of work.

Innovative Cadd Center
Auxhorised Signatory

W. W. W.	- Housing for All Mission	
23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on	
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Jointing and RCC bands are partially provided
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonary work is good.
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.	Provided.
(v)	Plastering	Good
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory
(vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found
(viii)	Cracks, if any	NA
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	No
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply,Sanitation etc.)	Yes
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath,WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found
28	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?	Instruction has been given during visit.
29	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction maintained satisfactory.
30	Any other comments	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves . The construction
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	On inspection of houses under ULB, We found that all houses are in different level. On visual inspection of these houses Quality of concrete in beam coloun and slab is found satisfactory.



C. P	ROGRESS - HOUSING COMPONENTS	Third Party Quality Moni	toring-2017	
1	Numbers of houses sanctioned in the Project	509	22	
2	Numbers of houses Completed	37	0	
3	Numbers of houses in progress	472	22	
4	Stages of Progress:			
i)	Foundation level (Nos.)	244	13	
ii)	Plinth level (Nos.)	191	0	
iii)	Lintel level (Nos.)	3	0	
iv)	Roof level (Nos.)	1	0	
v)	Finishing level (Nos.)	33	0	
5	Numbers of houses not started	0	9	
6	Reason for non-starter of houses, if any	Surrendred		
7	Numbers of houses occupied by the Beneficiaries	0		
8	Reasons for Non-occupation of houses (If any)- specific reasons to be given	Under construction		
		Sanctioned	As per Implementation	
9	Size of Unit (Carpet Area)	Proposed avg. 30 sq.m	Most of the houses are 30 sqm	
10	Overall physical progress of Housing (in %)	Approx (58%)		
11	Whether the progress of houses has been ensured through geo-tagged photographs at various construction level?	1 Yes		
12	Whether DBT of fund to individual beneficiary is ensured in the project?	Yes		
13	Whether the building plan(s) conform to NBC norms?	Yes		
14	Whether authenticated building plans and revisions if any, are available?	Yes		
15	Deviation, if any	No		
16	Any other comment	No		
D. C	IVIC INFRASTRUCTURE			
1	Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has been ensured?	Yes		

Innovative/Cadd Center

3	Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) - Housing for All Mission			
2	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city level infrastructure?	NA		
3	Any other comments	No		
E. S	OCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE			
1	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes		
2	Any other comments	No		
F. F	INANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (Rs. in Lakhs)		
1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	1934.20	54.20	
2	Amount of funds received up to date	509.00	22.00	
3	Up-to date Expenditure	509.00	22.00	
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	509.00	22.00	
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil	Nil	
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries		
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	509.00	13.00	
G. C	OST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS			
Cost	variation:			
1	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BL	C works	
Time	Overruns:			
1	Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BL	C works	
	REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRE	SS AND QUALIT	Υ	
Und	ertaken by Implementation agency to improve the:			
1	Physical Progress	58%		
2	Financial Progress	Timely payment of installments must be assured to beneficiaries.		
3	Quality management	Supervision from the side of PMC need to improved for quality.		
4	Comments and suggestions of TPQMA on above	Construction carried out by beneficiaries are good. Maintain a workshop for beneficiaries ward wise to give knowledge about construction.		
I. C	OURT CASES AND LITIGATIONS			
1	(To be specified)	Nil		

	Reference Guide for	Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
J. C	THERS	
1	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been used	NO
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	Work in progress
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
5	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable)	
	Critical observations	Progress of the project is satisfactory
	2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	Nil
6	Action taken report on previous report: i. Observations of TPQMA ii Action suggested by TPQMA iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency) iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	This was first visit
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is satisfactory and beneficiaries are active, the construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC. To improve the quality of work regular visit of ULB official is recommended.



For Innovative CADD Centre Indore,

Authorised Signatory

Name of Community representative present during visit

	ULB NAME-: F	Pachperwa
S.No	Benf. Name	Father/Husband Name
1	MEVATI	RAMSHANKARYADAV
2	RAKESH KUMAR GUPTA	SHIVNATH PRASAD GUPTA
3	RENU YADAV	SATYARAM YADAV
4	SANGITA DEVI	LODI
5	SATYADEV PATWA	LT DINANATH
6	SAVITRI DEVI	HARI YADAV
7	SHANKUTALA DEVI	HARISHACHAND
8	SITA DEVI	DASHARTH YADAV
9	SURENDRA YADAV	CHANDRABHAN YADAV
10	URMILA DEVI	MAHESH
11	VINITA DEVI	LET ASHOK
12	JAY RAM YADAV	BALCHAND YADAV
13	ABDUL GANI	HIDAYAT TULLAH
14	AKHTARI	LT- ASIK ALI
15	ANSARI	LT- RAHMAN
16	JAMSEDH SAH	LT SALIMULLAH
17	MANKA DEVI	LT- SOMNATH
18	MO SALEH	ASIK ALI
19	NASRIN	IMAMUDDIN
20	OBAIDULLAH	ASIK ALI
21	SAHEDA	SUFIYAN AHMAD
22	SRIMATI DILVAHRUN NISHA	SAROJ AHMAD
23	KAUSAR JAHAA	MD AFAJAL
24	HANSHRAJ	MAHADEV
25	HARIHAR	LATE MAHADEV
26	MO ASIF	MAKBUL AHMAD
27	SUBHASH SONKAR	KANHAI SONKAR
28	MOOLCHANDRA RAM	RAMPATI
29	ASHA DEVI	RAM BACHAN
30	RAJ KUMAR	RAMPATI
31	PUSHPA	RAMESH
32	MEENA	LT. SANI
33	RAJENDRA	MOOLCHANDRA
34	GUDIYA DEVI	LT. MPTI
35	SUMITRA	SHIVKUMAR
36	ALAGU RAM	TULSI
37	ANITA DEVI	RAJKUMAR
38	KIRAN DEVI	LT. PABARU RAM
39	SHANTI DEVI	SHIVCHAND
40	BASMATI	RAMBACHAN
41	MURATI DEVI	RAM KUBER GOND
42	INDAL	BECHAN
43	POOLMATI	LT. ADALAT
44	SHUSHILA DEVI	SHIVBACHAN
45	MANORMA	LALCHAND
46	USHA DEVI	BHUTELI
47	MAKKHAN	KHUNKHUN



Innovative Cadd Center







Innovative Cadd Center

A harisand Signatory